# EXHIBIT E

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 ANGEL HERNANDEZ, 4 Plaintiff, 5 No. 18 CIV. 9035 (JPO) (GWG) -vs-6 THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF 7 BASEBALL and MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL BLUE, INC., 8 Defendants. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREGORY W. BAXTER 16 Thursday, March 5, 2020 17 New York, New York 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 REPORTED BY: 25 Christina Diaz, CRC, CRR, RMR, CSR, CLR

	T dgo 14
1	A. I do not.
2	Q. Do you recall the subject matter of
3	the case?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Do you recall anything about the
6	case that you testified in in 2015?
7	A. At the current time, no.
8	Q. You mentioned you met with
9	Mr. Landen for several hours yesterday. Was
10	anybody else present at the meeting?
11	A. No one else was present. While we
12	were preparing Mr. Murphy arrived precisely
13	the time we finished.
14	MR. MURPHY: New grandson.
15	BY MS. PHILION:
16	Q. And you said you reviewed your
17	expert report and guidance materials on the
18	internet and Dr. Martin's report. Were there
19	any other documents you reviewed in
20	connection with prepping for your deposition
21	today?
22	A. There was one other document.
23	Q. What document is that?
24	A. A memo I had written to myself but
25	never included in the expert report.

1	O What mame was that?
	Q. What memo was that?
2	A. A memo on the methodology for
3	identifying comments as positive, negative or
4	platitude and the method for identifying
5	whether the author's comments in the year-end
6	reviews were supported or unsupported by the
7	Umpire Evaluation Reports or UERs.
8	Q. When did you first prepare that
9	memo?
10	A. About February 1st.
11	Q. February 1, 2020?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. When were you retained in this
14	matter?
15	A. I was retained August 26th.
16	Q. August 26, 2019?
17	A. That's right.
18	Q. You did not include that memorandum
19	with your expert report, is that correct?
20	A. My expert report was submitted much
21	earlier, yes, that's right.
22	Q. So why did you create a written
23	memo regarding your methodology after the
24	creation of the report?
25	A. Because I expected to be deposed
1	

Page 16

1 about my methodology. 2 Q. How long after the creation of your 3 report did you create this memo? 4 Α. February 1st. My -- my report was December 13th. 5 Q. How do you recall the methodology 6 7 that you utilized for a report in December on 8 February 1st when you wrote the report --9 when you wrote the memo -- excuse me. 10 A. How did I recall the work that I had done for several months? 11 Q. 12 Yes. 13 It was easy to recall the methods Α. that I used because I use them literally 14 15 hundreds of times in preparing my report. 16 was merely a matter of writing them all down. 17 Q. When you say you used them "hundreds of times," where else have you used 18 19 them? 20 A. I used them throughout the 21 preparation of this report, which has 22 hundreds of my comments in it. 23 Q. Have you ever used the 24 methodologies that you used here in another 25 matter?

		diogory II. Baxtor IIIaron o, 2020	Page 23
1	Mr. Murph	ny's law firm received Dr. Martin's	
2		I was paid to read it and comment.	
3	Q.	The memo regarding your methodology	
4		mentioned earlier, approximately how	
5	_	it take you to prepare that memo?	
6	Α.	Less than two hours.	
7	Q.	When you prepared that memo, were	
8	you refer	ring to any notes that you had	
9	created w	when you were undertaking the	
10	analysis	that's set forth in your expert	
11	report?		
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	Do you still have those notes?	
14	A.	No.	
15	Q.	Did you discard them?	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	When did you discard them?	
18	A.	After I prepared the memo that	
19	summarize	ed them.	
20	Q.	Do you have any notes that you took	
21	while und	dertaking your analysis for this	
22	report th	nat you did not disregard?	
23	A.	Yes.	
24	Q.	What notes do you have?	
25	A.	I would be speculating. I made a	

Page 24

1 great number of notes. With my memory, I 2 need to write a lot of notes. 3 Q. You don't have a very good memory? 4 Α. I don't think I do. 5 (Baxter Exhibit 1, Curriculum Vitae, two pages, was marked for 6 7 identification) BY MS. PHILION: 8 9 Q. Mr. Baxter -- sorry. Dr. Baxter, 10 the court reporter has handed you what we have identified as Baxter Exhibit 1. It is 11 12 the CV that you provided with your report. 13 Do you recognize it as that? 14 Α. I do recognize it. 15 Is everything on your CV 16 true and correct as of -- we will start with the date of your report -- as of December 17 23rd, I believe you said? 18 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Is there anything that needs to be 21 updated on this CV through today? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Looking at your education, where it 24 says "Logistics Executive Development 25 Course, " can you explain to me what that is?

	diogory W. Baxtor Maron 5, 2020	Page 88
4		
1	that at the time that you were analyzing the	
2	documents in preparing your report, is that	
3	correct?	
4	A. No. I don't recall it now.	
5	Q. Did you know it then?	
6	A. I might have known then, from	
7	discussions about which years I was tasked to	
8	analyze, that 2017 and 2018 existed.	
9	Q. Did you review every Umpire	
10	Evaluation Report for the years 2011 through	
11	2016 for each of the umpires, Hernández,	
12	Danley and Μάrquez?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Did you keep a list of what you	
15	actually reviewed?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Did you keep a list by Bates	
18	numbers?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. How did you keep a list of what you	
21	actually reviewed?	
22	A. I reviewed everything. You asked	
23	me if I reviewed all of the Umpire Evaluation	
24	Reports, I did.	
25	So it's all of them.	

	diegory W. Baxtor Maron 5, 2020	Page 111
1	your view, that's Mr. Torre, Mr. Woodfork and	
2	Mr. Marsh, no one else?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. What was your methodology to	
5	analyze issues in the creation of umpire	
6	performance reviews?	
7	A. First to read the depositions of	
8	the people who work with the system, the	
9	executives of baseball to understand how they	
10	say it is done, and then I reviewed the	
11	year-end reports of the three minority	
12	umpires I was assigned, and then I created a	
13	method for comparing for each performance	
14	component, such as hustle or four-umpire	
15	mechanics, a method to compare the Umpire	
16	Evaluations Reports done at the time of a	
17	ball game with the performance reviews	
18	created months later.	
19	Q. Can you describe the method that	
20	you created?	
21	A. I converted all of the 18-year-end	
22	reviews to a Word document so that I could	
23	write on it.	
24	I converted all of the Umpire	
25	Evaluations Reports for those six years to a	

Page 112

1 Word searchable PDF. I would then put 2 Mr. Hern ά ndez' 2011 year-end review on one 3 side of my computer screen and the UE -- the 4 UERs, Umpire Evaluation Reports, for 2011 for 5 Mr. Hernández on the left side of my computer and see if the author of the year-end review 6 had referred to and relied upon what was 7 8 reported for on-field activity. 9 Q. And how did you determine whether the performance evaluator referred to and 10 11 relied upon data contained in the UER? 12 MR. MURPHY: Objection. Vague. You can answer. 13 14 I determined it by looking for 15 whether the thing that the author said was true and reflective of the umpire's 16 17 performance actually ever happened. 18 BY MS. PHILION: 19 Q. Well, how did you make that -- how 20 did you make that evaluation? Were you 21 searching for similar words? 22 That was a small part of it. Α. 23 principal example -- how would I know? The 24 year-end review might include the phrase 25 "Many comments about your hustle say that you

	Tago 110
1	remains a great umpire"; there were many such
1	
2	comments, and I searched for those phrases.
3	Q. How did you come up with a list of
4	the phrases that you searched for?
5	A. I started with a sample of UERs. I
6	looked at the kind of things that umpires
7	were saying under "hustle," under
8	"four-umpire mechanics." And I compiled a
9	list of words to look for to identify whether
10	a comment was positive or negative. And I
11	consistently used that listing and then
12	looked for whether the words that appear in
13	the UER ever appeared under that performance
14	component on the year-end review.
15	Q. If the same word did not appear in
16	both of those documents, did you consider
17	that to be unsupported? So if a word
18	appeared in the performance evaluation like
19	"good" and good
20	A. Hold on.
21	Q. Yes. Sure.
22	A. What performance evaluation?
23	Q. A year-end performance evaluation.
24	A. Thank you.
25	Q. So if the word "good" appeared in a

	1450 117
1	year-end performance evaluation, did you come
2	up with a list of words that were similar to
3	"good" that you searched UERs for?
4	A. The list I just gave you.
5	Q. Is was that a complete list?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Do you have the complete list
8	written down anywhere?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Is that in the memo that you
11	described to me earlier?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. At the time that you were
14	undertaking this analysis, before you wrote
15	the memo, did you keep a list of these terms?
16	A. Yes, I did.
17	Q. Okay. Did you keep a complete list
18	of the terms that you searched for?
19	A. No. Because
20	MR. MURPHY: Did you hear the
21	question?
22	THE WITNESS: I did.
23	BY MS. PHILION:
24	Q. Tell me why you didn't keep a
25	complete list of the terms you searched for.

Page 126

1 "Bob had a really good day at 2-B." Well, 2 "really good" is common knowledge but 2-B 3 means second base. I suggest not everybody 4 knows that. 5 Q. Were you searching the UERs for that specific sports jargon, or were you 6 7 searching the UERs for words like "good, 8 great, terrific, energetic, crisp, clear, 9 emphatic"; some of the words that you 10 mentioned earlier. 11 MR. MURPHY: Objection. BY MS. PHILION: 12 13 Q. You can answer. 14 Α. I wasn't very --15 MR. MURPHY: I will decide that. 16 Thank you. 17 You can answer. 18 MS. PHILION: We are in agreement. 19 A. I wasn't very often searching the UER for the word "terrific." I was searching 20 21 the UER to read every single comment under 22 that performance component. And then to note 23 whether a UER comment was positive or 24 negative, I used the methodology that I have 25 described. I wasn't searching for

Page 127

"terrific." I was searching for every single 1 2 UER comment about four-umpire mechanics, and 3 then base calls and then pace of game, and 4 looking for anything anybody said and then 5 categorizing it as positive or negative. BY MS. PHILION: 6 7 Q. And you believe you were able to do 8 that based on your thorough review of the 9 Umpire Evaluation Reports, is that correct? 10 A. That is correct. 11 Q. It was not based on any knowledge 12 you had about baseball prior to this 13 engagement? 14 Α. That is correct, too. 15 Was there any way that you Q. 16 standardized the process of comparing words 17 from a performance evaluation with all of the 18 Umpire Evaluation Reports? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. How did you do that? 21 Α. First of all, I am not fully 22 trusting of technology, so when I opened the 23 document that I had converted to PDF. that is 24 the Umpire Evaluation Report for that year 25 for that umpire. I first would going to the

Page 129

1 employee would take to be neutral. 2 a bad game; you were lazy; you give a bad 3 impression; your performance in this area is 4 deteriorating." It was -- it was not 5 particularly difficult to find the negatives in the negative comments. 6 7 So your evaluation was based on 8 what in your view was a positive or negative 9 word --10 MR. MURPHY: Objection. BY MS. PHILION: 11 12 Q. -- or phrase? 13 MR. MURPHY: Objection. 14 You can answer. 15 A. Yes. 16 BY MS. PHILION: 17 Was this based on any set of formal Q. 18 criteria other than what you have just 19 described? A. 20 No. 21 Q. Have you documented the criteria 22 you used to assess positive and negative 23 comments anywhere? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Is that in the memo that you

Page 130

mentioned earlier? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. 0kay. Did you keep the notes --4 well, excuse me. Withdrawn. 5 During the process of your analysis in drafting your expert report, did you keep 6 7 notes on the criteria for positive and negative comments? 8 9 A. Yes. 10 Do you still have those notes? Q. I converted them into the memo. 11 A. 12 Q. So is that a no? 13 A. That's no. Do you think a different reviewer 14 Q. 15 of the documents you looked at could have a 16 different opinion on whether a comment was 17 positive or negative? 18 MR. MURPHY: Objection. 19 A. I would be speculating. BY MS. PHILION: 20 21 Q. Do you think it's possible? 22 MR. MURPHY: Objection. 23 BY MS. PHILION: 24 Q. You can answer. 25 I think, looking at what I looked A.

Page 132

1 evaluation was what you concluded was word 2 salad? 3 Α. What performance evaluation? 4 Q. So in the year-end performance 5 evaluations that you appended to your report --6 7 (Nodding affirmatively). A. Q. 8 One of the categories you color 9 coded was called "word salad," is that 10 correct? A. There is. 11 12 Q. So what I am trying to 0kav. understand is how the criteria you set for 13 determining that something in a year-end 14 15 performance evaluation was "word salad"? 16 Actually, I found that to be the 17 easiest of things to categorize. It's any 18 phrase or sentence or paragraph that does not 19 report the on-field -- the performance of 20 that umpire during that season. 21 unrelated to a performance review. 22 Q. How did you determine what was 23 unrelated to a performance review with 24 respect to Major League Baseball umpires? 25 A. Any sentence that did not say --

1	A. Not always.
2	Q. What other methods did you employ?
3	A. Sometimes I did not do a word
4	search for word similarity.
5	Q. How did you determine when you
6	would do a word search for word similarity?
7	A. When the author had stated, "There
8	were multiple comments," and there were none,
9	as in this case. "No UER included a comment
10	under 'hustle and mobility.'" I don't need
11	to search for beyond looking at every
12	single I looked at all 58 UERs to see if
13	there was a comment under "mobility and
14	hustle." There was not. My comment is true.
15	Q. If there is no comment under
16	"mobility or hustle," did you not do a word
17	search for a document after that?
18	A. I often did.
19	Q. When did you decide to do a word
20	search? That's what I am trying to
21	understand.
22	A. Partway through the project.
23	Q. Partway through this project. So
24	for some of the UERs you and performance
25	evaluation comparisons, you did a word search

Page 140

and for others, you did not? 1 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. What part of the process did you 4 decide to start undertaking word searches? 5 When I found that it was -- when I discovered from reading so many UERs and 6 7 year-end reviews, that it was quite possible 8 the author might have been getting his claims 9 that -- this particular year, this particular 10 umpire exhibited good mobility from comments 11 that -- from UER comments that didn't appear under "mobility." 12 13 Q. Did you keep track of which 14 documents you performed word searches on and 15 which documents you did not? 16 A. I performed word searches on every 17 document. 18 Q. Well, you just said you started 19 doing that "partway through the process." 20 A. No. I started searching for 21 phrases similar to the year-end review in the 22 UERs. I word searched every UER for 23 "mobility," and in this case, I searched 24 every UER for the word "physical" and "condition" and "moves" or "move" and 25

Page 143

1 the same questions over and over again. 2 MS. PHILION: All right. We will 3 see when we get there. 4 BY MS. PHILION: 5 Q. All right. So I'm going to read 6 you back the question. 7 What I want to understand is, at 8 some point in the process, you started 9 searching performance components outside of 10 the specific performance component in which 11 you expected to find a comment, is that 12 right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Did you keep track of which Umpire 15 Evaluation Reports you searched the whole 16 document and which you only searched the 17 specific performance component? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Are you familiar with the concept of "wild card searching"? 20 21 A. Yes. What is it, in your understanding? 22 Q. 23 A. I am not a -- I'm not a computer 24 expert, but my understanding is, using word 25 search technology that includes characters

Page 206

BY MS. PHILION: 1 2 Q. I am not asking what it could have 3 been; I am asking what it was. 4 MR. MURPHY: Same objection. BY MS. PHILION: 5 Q. Why did you prepare the memo? 6 7 MR. MURPHY: Asked and answered. 8 Let's say for those two reasons, to 9 help the jury and to be clear in my 10 deposition. BY MS. PHILION: 11 12 Q. Did counsel request that you 13 prepare that memo? He did -- he did not. 14 15 (Baxter Exhibit 14, Rebuttal Report 16 of Denise Martin, PH.D., dated January 17 27, 2020, 37 pages with attachments, was 18 marked for identification) 19 BY MS. PHILION: 20 Q. Dr. Baxter, the court reporter has 21 just handed you Exhibit 14 for identification. This is the rebuttal report 22 23 of Denise Martin, Ph.D., dated January 7 --27th, 2020. 24 25 Is this the report that you told me

4	from an amplement was and in mot westiting for
1	from an employee's record is not positive for
2	their career. It is not neutral for their
3	career. It is negative for their career.
4	Without comparison to anyone else.
5	MS. PHILION: Thank you,
6	Dr. Baxter.
7	So I have no further questions, but
8	before we go off the record, we are
9	going to call for the production of the
10	memorandum regarding your methodology
11	that you said you created after you
12	submitted your expert report.
13	We are also going to call for the
14	production of any notes that you took in
15	the process of the analysis in creation
16	of your expert report.
17	We are not closing the deposition
18	and will note that we have requested
19	those documents from your counsel in
20	formal document requests, and they were
21	not provided to us.
22	MR. MURPHY: That is not correct.
23	Are you done?
24	MS. PHILION: Yes.
25	MR. MURPHY: Okay.
1	